CITY COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT MEETING DATE: March 16, 2022 **ITEM #16** SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING ON INITIAL DRAFT MAPS RELATED TO CITY THE CITY'S TRANSITION TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS WEST SACRAMENTO **INITIATED OR REQUESTED BY:** REPORT COORDINATED OR PREPARED BY: [] Council [X] Staff Jon Robinson, Deputy City Manager City Manager's Office [] Other

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this report is to present the City Council with the initial draft district maps and to solicit feedback from the Council and the community in order to facilitate ongoing refinement of the draft maps, in preparation for the Council's selection of a final map in May, 2022.

[] Information

[] Direction

[X] Action

RECOMMENDED ACTION

ATTACHMENT [] Yes

Staff respectfully recommends that the City Council hear the presentation from Redistricting Partners, receive public comment, and provide feedback about the draft maps and related communities of interest in West Sacramento.

BACKGROUND

At the Council's meetings of February 2 and March 2 the Council heard presentations from the City's consultant, Redistricting Partners, which provided background on the districting process. As noted in those presentations, state and federal law contain requirements for voting districts, including that they are:

- Relatively equal in size
- Geographically and functionally contiguous
- Compact
- Follow existing neighborhood lines
- Easily identifiable and understandable by residents
- Generally consistent with existing communities of interest

[X] No

The first four items noted above are functions of geometry that can be applied by Redistricting Partners to any draft maps. The last two items are largely based on subjective resident perceptions, so public input on these issues is necessary to inform the initial draft maps themselves. For example, residents might perceive their "community of interest" as an area inhabited by residents who share language or cultural ties, served by the same bus line or school, or featuring similar housing types.

Following the Council meeting of February 2, staff and Redistricting Partners have collaborated to present two public outreach sessions via Zoom, one at 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday February 22, and another on Saturday February 26. Residents were notified of these meetings via e-mail, social media, and utility bill inserts (to the cycles that were due during the period preceding the meetings – about 8,000 residents). Additionally, staff e-mailed flyers to local churches, requested the assistance of the Bryte/Broderick Community Action Network (BBCAN) to post flyers, placed ads on Russian language social media, ask the Chamber and school district to share on their social media channels, used the Public Works roadside message signs to advertise the meetings, and asked City staff to spread the word to their community contacts.

Despite these efforts, only eight residents attended the meeting of February 22, while about 12 attended the meeting of February 26. Redistricting Partners notes that low attendance at districting and re-districting outreach meetings is a trend that they're seeing in various jurisdictions around the state. However, staff will continue to promote these meetings through every means available in an effort to increase turnout at future events.

At the meetings of February 22 and February 26, Redistricting Partners staff explained the districting process to attendees, showed meeting participants how to submit their ideas about communities of interest and district boundaries using the online the Districtr software, and solicited feedback on communities of interest. The primary comment from both groups was that there is a significant Hispanic population in Bryte and Broderick that should be considered during the process. Additionally, a resident noted that "the middle" of West Sacramento should not be forgotten as the Council considers the needs of Bryte, Broderick and Southport.

Council and Resident Input on Initial Draft District Maps March 16, 2022 Page 2

ANALYSIS

Redistricting Partners has used the input provided at public outreach meetings to create draft maps that are configured differently, but comply with state and federal law. There are three draft maps, Maps A, B, and C, which are included (along with demographic information for each), as Attachments 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

These maps reflect the input provided by the community to date, adjusted as necessary by the demographers at Redistricting Partners to comply with the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA), as well as the FAIR MAPS Act and federal voting rights laws. Since there are unavoidable tradeoffs between a district's size, compactness, and adherence to communities of interest and existing geographical boundaries, the process of refining districts is part art, and part science. The feedback that the Council and community provides this evening, and in the upcoming meetings, will help Redistricting Partners to articulate the Council's and community's vision for the districts, and continue moving the process towards adoption of a final map no later than May 18, 2022.

Sequencing of District Elections

To maintain staggered terms, Council members from two of the newly formed districts will need to be elected in 2022, and the others in 2024. Elections Code Section 10010(a)(2) requires the City to propose a potential sequencing of elections and conduct at least two public hearings to facilitate discussion of that sequencing. In order to comply with this provision, staff's initial proposition is that the district elections be conducted in the same order as their alphabetical name during this process, i.e. A = first, B = second, C = third, and D = fourth. Under this approach, districts A and B would run in 2022, and districts C and D would run in 2024. This proposed order is not a staff recommendation, and is provided only to comply with the Election Code. The Council retains its full prerogative to set the order of elections as it sees fit, subject only to the requirement that the Council "give special consideration to the purposes of the [CVRA]" and to the preferences expressed by members of the districts. The final sequence will be selected concurrent with the City's selection of a final district map, no later than May 18, 2022.

Next Steps

The initial draft maps are intended as a starting point for an energetic community discussion, not as a fait accompli. Now that the draft maps have been shared with the Council, staff and Redistricting Partners will conduct extensive community outreach in order to solicit community input on the initial draft maps, including multiple community meetings, and intensive marketing to advise residents of the various available ways to provide their input. While every step of this outreach process will provide valuable information for the Council's consideration, the final map that the Council approves need not be related in any way to the drafts that Redistricting Partners presents on March 16. It is possible that the map the Council ultimately adopts will be a refined version of one of the initial drafts. It is also possible that the final map will be an entirely new creation from a community member, if that submittal meets all of the applicable legal requirements and the Council's expectations.

The period between March 16 and the end of April is the most critical phase of this project from the standpoint of soliciting public involvement. During this time, staff will employ every tool at its disposal in order to assure that every resident of West Sacramento has an opportunity to hear about this process, and participate either electronically, and/or in person. The community will be notified through at least the following means:

- City web site
- Newspaper ads
- Direct mail

- Social media
- Word of mouth
- Roadside sign

- E-mail blast
- Utility bill inserts
- Posted flyers

Staff recognizes that some residents' ability to participate in this process is complicated by language barriers, time constraints, and/or an inability to utilize online tools. To facilitate the inclusion of these residents, staff has created a fully paper-based modality to allow residents who don't have access to a computer, or who can't attend Council or community outreach meetings, to participate by visiting the Arthur F. Turner Library. To help assure that residents are aware of this opportunity, staff has telephone numbers with recorded messages in Spanish and Russian, which direct callers to the Library. The phone numbers will be advertised along with the City's districting web site in Spanish and Russian on City utility bill inserts and other printed material. This approach will provide a full pathway from awareness to participation for residents who don't have access to social media or e-mail, and will provide a redundant invitation to participate for those who do.

The next public outreach meetings are scheduled for Saturday March 26 at 10:00 a.m. at the Community Center, and Tuesday March 29 at 6:00 p.m., also at the Community Center. Spanish and Russian-speaking staff will be on hand at both meetings to assist participants, who will have the opportunity to create and submit their own

Council and Resident Input on Initial Draft District Maps March 16, 2022 Page 3

maps. At the Council meeting of April 6, staff will present another public hearing on this process, and will provide the Council with an update on the most recent outreach efforts.

<u>Environmental Considerations</u>
This action is not a project that is subject to CEQA because it is not an activity that may cause either a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21065; CEQA Guidelines §§ 15060(c), 15378(a).)

Commission Recommendation

N/A

Strategic Plan Integration

N/A

Alternatives

As an alternative to staff's recommended action, the Council could decline to hear the presentation and/or provide feedback. Staff does not recommend this approach because it would delay the City's progress towards districted elections, which is on a tight schedule in order to be complete in time for the November, 2022 election.

Coordination and Review

This report was prepared by the City Manager's Office, in collaboration with the City Attorney.

Budget/Cost Impact


ATTACHMENT(S)

- 1. Draft Map A
- 2. Draft Map B
- 3. Draft Map C




Draft Plan A

Attachment 1





Draft Plan A w/ MH Neighborhoods





2020 Census

	۷	a	U	۵
Population	13,408	13,279	13,512	13,878
Deviation	נונ-	-240	<i>L</i> -	359
Deviation %	-0.8%	-1.8%	-0.1%	2.7%
Other	5,837	9,693	7,226	7,330
Other %	43.5%	50.4%	53.5%	52.8%
Latino	6,078	4,769	2,845	3,632
Latino %	45.3%	35.9%	21.1%	26.2%
Asian	1,036	1,167	2,824	2,117
Asian %	7.7%	8.8%	20.9%	15.3%
Black	457	650	617	799

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

5.8%

4.6%

4.9%

3.4%

Black %


	/			
	۷	В	U	Q
Total CVAP	7,928	8,343	8,742	8,531
Other CVAP	4,208	5,287	5,387	5,767
Other CVAP %	53.1%	63.4%	61.6%	%9'29
Latino CVAP	2,361	2,222	1,051	1,511
Latino CVAP %	29.8%	26.6%	. 12.0%	17.7%
Asian CVAP	689	461	1,834	969
Asian CVAP %	8.7%	5.5%	21.0%	8.2%
Black CVAP	0.29	373	470	557
Black CVAP %	8.5%	4.5%	5.4%	6.5%




Draft Plan A

2020 Census

District A



	3%	Black %	lation			%8
	7%	Asian %	Citizen Voting Age Population			% 8
45%		Latino %	oting A		29%	
43%		Other %	Citizen V	23%		



Black % 3.4%

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population

Black %

Asian %

Latino %

Other %

	Blac
457	Slack CVAP
7.7%	Asian CVAP % E
1,036	> Asian
45.3%	% Asian CVAF
6,078	Latino CVAP
43.5%	atino CVAP Lati
5,837	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latii
-0.8%	SVAP Othe
רוו-	Other (
13,408	Total CVAP


Black CVAP	8.5%
Black CVAP	029
6 Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP	8.7%
Asian CVAP	689
Latino CVAP %	29.8%
Latino CVAP	2,361
Other CVAP Other CVAP %	53.1%
Other CVAP	4,208
Total CVAP	7,928



Draft Plan A w/ MH Neighborhoods

2020 Census

District A



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP %

689

29.8%

2,361

53.1%

4,208

7,928

Black 457

Asian %

Latino % 45.3%

Latino 6,078

Other % 43.5%

5,837

-0.8%

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population 13,408

Asian 1,036

Citizen Voting Age Population Black % Black % 3% %8 Asian % Asian % 2% 8% Latino % Latino % 29% Other % Other % 43% 23% Black %



Draft Plan A

2020 Census

District B



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP %

5.5%

461

26.6%

2,222

63.4%

5,287

8,343

Black %

Black 650

Asian % 8.8%

Asian 1,167

Latino % 35.9%

Latino

Other % 50.4%

Deviation Deviation % Other


Population 13,279

4,769

6,693

-1.8%

-240





Draft Plan A w/ MH Neighborhoods

District B








Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % 4.5% 5.5% 461 26.6% 2,222 63.4% 5,287 8,343



Draft Plan A


District C



Black % 4% Asian % 20% Latino % 21% 2020 Census Other % 23%

Citizen Voting Age Population





Black % 4.6%

Black 617

Asian % 20.9%

Asian 2,824

Latino % 21.1%

Latino 2,845

Other % 53.5%

7,226

-0.1%

-7

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population 13,512

Blac	
Black CVAP	
sian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP	
Asian CVAP	
AP Latino CVAP %	
% Latino CVAP Latino (
Other CVAP Other CVAP %	
Total CVAP	


Black CVAP %	5.4%
Black CVAP	470
Asian CVAP %	21.0%
Asian CVAP	1,834
% Latino CVAP Latino CVAP %	12.0%
Latino CVAP	1,051
other CVAP %	61.6%
Other CVAP	5,387
Total CVAP	8,742



Draft Plan A w/ MH Neighborhoods

2020 Census

District C



21% 20% Other% Latino% Asian% Black% Citizen Voting Age Population 61% 12% 58%



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % 5.4% 21.0% 1,834 12.0% 1,051 89.19 5,387 8,742

Black %

Asian %

Latino %


Other %





Draft Plan A

2020 Census

District D







Black 799

Asian % 15.3%

Asian 2,117

Latino %

Latino 3,632

Other % 52.8%

7,330

2.7%

359

13,878

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population

26.2%

557

8.2%

969

17.7%

1,51

%9'./9


5,767


ш
Black CVAP
Asian CVAP %
AP % Asian CVAP
، Latino CVA
Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP
Other CVAP O
Total CVAP



Draft Plan A w/ MH Neighborhoods

District D





Black %

Asian %


Latino %

Other %

26%

52%

2%



Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population

557

8.2%

969

1,511

%9′.29


5,767

8,531

Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % 15.3% 2,117 26.2% 3,632 52.8% 7,330 2.7% 359 13,878




Draft Plan B Attachment 2





Draft Plan B w/ MH Neighborhoods





City of West Sacramento Draft Plan B

2020 Census

	13,045	-474	-3.5%	7,340	%2'95	3,101	23.8%	198'L	15.2%	
U	13,967	448	3.3%	7,648	54.8%	3,008	21.5%	2,714	19.4%	
В	13,672	153	1.1%	6,051	44.3%	5,513	40.3%	1,347	%6.6	
∢	13,393	-126	%6:0-	6,047	45.2%	5,702	42.6%	1,102	8.2%	
	Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

4.8%

4.3%

2.6%

4.0%

Black %

 \cup

Δ


Total CVAP	8,072	7,463	9,341	8,668
Other CVAP	4,455	4,362	5,777	6,055
Other CVAP %	55.2%	58.4%	61.8%	%6.69
Latino CVAP	2,354	696'l	1,347	1,475
Latino CVAP %	29.2%	26.4%	14.4%	17.0%
Asian CVAP	290	582	1,781	727
Asian CVAP %	7.3%	7.8%	19.1%	8.4%
Black CVAP	673	550	436	רו4
Black CVAP %	8.3%	7.4%	4.7%	4.7%



Draft Plan B

2020 Census

District A



	%7	Black %	llation			%8
	%8	Asian %	Citizen Voting Age Population			7%
45%		Latino %	oting A		29%	
45%		Other %	itizen V	25%		
			O			

Black %

Asian %

Latino %

Other %




8.3%
673
7.3%
590
29.2%
2.354
55.2%
4.455
8.072



Draft Plan B w/ MH Neighborhoods

District A



2020 Census 45% 42% Other% Latino% Asian% Black% Citizen Voting Age Population 55% 7% 8%



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % 290 29.2% 2,354 55.2% 8,072

Black %


Latino %

Other %




Draft Plan B


District B



Black % 2% Asian % %6 40% 2020 Census Other % 44%

Citizen Voting Age Population





Black % 2.6%

Black 761

Asian % %6.6

Asian 1,347

Latino % 40.3%

Latino 5,513

Other % 44.3%

6,051

1.1%

153

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population 13,672


Blac	
Black CVAP	
Asian CVAP %	
Asian CVAP	
P Latino CVAP %	
IO CVA	
Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latin	
Other CVAP	
Total CVAP	


%	
Black CVAP	7.4%
Black CVAP	550
Asian CVAP % E	7.8%
Asian CVAP	582
Latino CVAP %	26.4%
Latino CVAP	1,969
Other CVAP %	58.4%
Other CVAP	4,362
Total CVAP	7,463



Draft Plan B w/ MH Neighborhoods

District B





Citizen Voting Age Population

Black %

Asian %

Latino %

Other %

2%

%6

40%

44%

2020 Census




Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % 550 7.8% 582 26.4% 1,969 58.4% 4,362 7,463



Draft Plan B


District C



Black % 4% Asian % %61 Latino % 21% 2020 Census Other % 24%

Citizen Voting Age Population





Black % 4.3%

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population

19.1%

1,781

14.4%

1,347


61.8%

4.3%	:VAP %
4	Black C
597	CVAP
19.4%	Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %
	In CVAP
2,714	`
21.5%	Asian CV/
3,008	CVAP %
3,0	Latino
54.8%	no CVAP
7,648	:VAP % Lati
3.3%	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP
448	Other CV.
13,967	Total CVAP




Draft Plan B w/ MH Neighborhoods


District C



Black % 4% Asian % %61 Latino % 21% 2020 Census Other % 24%

Citizen Voting Age Population





Black %

Black 597

Asian % 19.4%

Asian 2,714

Latino % 21.5%

Latino 3,008

Other % 54.8%

7,648

3.3%

448

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population 13,967

4.3%


B	l
Black CVAP	
Asian CVAP %	
Asiar	
6 Asian CVAP	
VAP Latino CVAP %	
Latino C	
her CVAF	
Other CVAP Ot	
Total CVAP	

Black CVAP %	4.7%
Black CVAP	436
Asian CVAP %	19.1%
% Asian CVAP	1,781
no CVAP 9	14.4%
6 Latino CVAP Lati	1,347
Other CVAP 9	61.8%
Other CVAP (5,777
Total CVAP	9,341




Draft Plan B


District D



Black % Asian % Latino % 23% 2020 Census 26%

Citizen Voting Age Population





Black % 4.8%


%	
Black CVAF	4.7%
Black CVAP	411
Asian CVAP %	8.4%
Asian CVAP	727
Latino CVAP %	17.0%
Latino CVAP	1,475
Other CVAP %	%6.69
Other CVAP	6,055
Total CVAP	8,668



Draft Plan B w/ MH Neighborhoods

2020 Census

District D



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP 8 Black CVAP %

17.0%

1,475

%6.69

6,055

8,668

8.4%

Black

Asian %

Asian 1,981

Latino % 23.8%

Latino

Other % 56.3%

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population

13,045

3,101

7,340


-3.5%

623

| 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15%




Draft Plan C Attachment 3





Draft Plan C w/ MH Neighborhoods





2020 Census

	⋖	a	U	Δ
Population	13,535	14,181	13,204	13,157
Deviation	16	662	-315	-362
Deviation %	0.1%	4.9%	-2.3%	-2.7%
Other	5,706	7,101	7,427	6,852
Other%	42.2%	50.1%	56.2%	52.1%
Latino	6,392	4,840	3,137	2,955
Latino %	47.2%	34.1%	23.8%	22.5%
Asian	961	1,485	2,089	2,609
Asian %	7.1%	10.5%	15.8%	19.8%
Black	476	755	551	741


Asian	961	1,485	2,089	2,609
Asian %	7.1%	10.5%	15.8%	19.8%
Black	476	755	551	741
Black %	3.5%	5.3%	4.2%	2.6%
Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)	ation (CVAP)			
	٩	В	U	۵
Total CVAP	7,819	8,725	8,908	8,092
Other CVAP	4,117	5,400	5,957	5,175
Other CVAP %	52.7%	61.9%	%6.99	%0.79
Latino CVAP	2,461	2,187	1,271	1,226
Latino CVAP %	31.5%	25.1%	14.3%	15.2%
Asian CVAP	663	502	1,440	1,075
Asian CVAP %	8.5%	5.8%	16.2%	13.3%
Black CVAP	578	929	240	919
Black CVAP %	7.4%	7.3%	2.7%	7.6%





Draft Plan C

2020 Census

District A







Black % 3.5%

Black 476

Asian % 7.1%

Asian 196

Latino % 47.2%

Latino 6,392

Other % 42.2%

5,706

0.1%

91

Deviation Deviation % Other

Population 13,535

Black %

Asian %

Latino %

Other %

	Bla
	6 Black CVAP
	Asian CVAP
	CVAP % Asian CVAP /
	VAP Latino CVAP 9
	Latino C
	Other CVAP %
	Other CVAP Other CV
	Total CVAP


Black CVAP %	7.4%
Black CVAP	578
9 Asian CVAP % Black CVAP	8.5%
Asian CVAP	663
Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP	31.5%
Latino CVAP L	7.461
Other CVAP %	52.7%
Other CVAP	4.117
otal CVAP	7,819



Draft Plan C w/ MH Neighborhoods

2020 Census

District A



Citizen Voting Age Population Black % Black % 2% Asian % Asian % 2% % Latino % Latino % 47% 31% Other % Other % 45% 52%



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP 7,819


	7.4%	
	578	
(A)	8.5%	
	663	
	31.5%	
	2,461	
	52.7%	
(2)	4,117	
>	 - -	



Draft Plan C

2020 Census

District B



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP %

5.8%

502

25.1%

2,187

%6:19

5,400

8,725

Black %

Black

Asian % 10.5%

Latino % 34.1%

Latino 4,840

Other % 50.1%

Other 7,101

Deviation Deviation %


Population 14,181

4.9%

662

Asian 1,485

5.3%






Draft Plan C w/ MH Neighborhoods

2020 Census

District B



Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

5.8%

502

25.1%

2,187

61.9%

5,400

8,725

Black %

Black

Asian % 10.5%

Latino % 34.1%

Latino 4,840

Other % 50.1%

Other 7,101

Deviation Deviation %

Population 14,181

4.9%

662


Asian 1,485

Citizen Voting Age Population Black % Black % 2% % Asian % Asian % %01 2% Latino % Latino % 34% 25% Other % Other % 20% %[9




Draft Plan C


District C



2020 Census 56% 23% 15% 15% A 4% Other % Latino % Asian % Black %

Citizen Voting Age Population





Black % 4.2%

Black 551

Asian % 15.8%

Latino % 23.8%

Latino

Other % 56.2%

Other 7,427

Deviation Deviation %

Population 13,204

-2.3%

-315

3,137

Asian 2,089 2.7%

240

16.2%

1,440

14.3%

1,271

%6.99

5,957

VAP Black CVAP %
Asian CVAP % Black CVAP B
S Asian CVAP Asian
no CVAP %
Latino CVA
Other CVAP Other CVAP %
Total CVAP C




Draft Plan C w/ MH Neighborhoods

2020 Census

%95

District C



| 15% | 15% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 14% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16%

Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % Black 551 Asian % 15.8% 2,089 Asian Latino % 23.8% Latino 3,137 Other % 56.2% Other 7,427 Deviation Deviation % -2.3% -315 Population 13,204

240

16.2%

1,440

14.3%


%6.99

5,957



Draft Plan C

District D




Black % 2% Asian % %61 22% 52%

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population





	Ba
741	CK CVAP
19.8%	NP % Blace
2,609	Asian CV
22.5%	sian CVAP
2,955	CVAP %
52.1%	CVAP Latin
6,852	Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP & Asian CVAP Asian CVAP Black CVAP Blac
-2.7%	P Other CV
-362	Other CVA
13,157	Total CVAP

8.6%

Black

Asian %

Asian

Latino %

Latino

Other %

Population Deviation Deviation % Other

7.6%

919

13.3%

1,075

15.2%

1,226


64.0%



Draft Plan C w/ MH Neighborhoods

2020 Census

District D



22% 19% Other % Latino % Asian % Black % Citizen Voting Age Population 64% 15% 13% 7%

Black %	5.6%
Black	741
Asian %	19.8%
Asian	2,609
Latino %	22.5%
Latino	2,955
Other %	52.1%
Other	6,852
Deviation %	-2.7%
Deviation	-362
Population	13,157

Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % %9.7 1,075 1,226 64.0% 8,092

Black %

Latino %

Other %