

MEETING DATE: March 16, 2022

ITEM # 16

**SUBJECT:**

**PUBLIC HEARING ON INITIAL DRAFT MAPS RELATED TO  
THE CITY'S TRANSITION TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS**

**INITIATED OR REQUESTED BY:**
 Council     Staff

 Other
**REPORT COORDINATED OR PREPARED BY:**
 Jon Robinson, Deputy City Manager  
**City Manager's Office**
**ATTACHMENT**     Yes     No     Information     Direction     Action
**OBJECTIVE**

The purpose of this report is to present the City Council with the initial draft district maps and to solicit feedback from the Council and the community in order to facilitate ongoing refinement of the draft maps, in preparation for the Council's selection of a final map in May, 2022.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Staff respectfully recommends that the City Council hear the presentation from Redistricting Partners, receive public comment, and provide feedback about the draft maps and related communities of interest in West Sacramento.

**BACKGROUND**

At the Council's meetings of February 2 and March 2 the Council heard presentations from the City's consultant, Redistricting Partners, which provided background on the districting process. As noted in those presentations, state and federal law contain requirements for voting districts, including that they are:

- Relatively equal in size
- Geographically and functionally contiguous
- Compact
- Follow existing neighborhood lines
- Easily identifiable and understandable by residents
- Generally consistent with existing communities of interest

The first four items noted above are functions of geometry that can be applied by Redistricting Partners to any draft maps. The last two items are largely based on subjective resident perceptions, so public input on these issues is necessary to inform the initial draft maps themselves. For example, residents might perceive their "community of interest" as an area inhabited by residents who share language or cultural ties, served by the same bus line or school, or featuring similar housing types.

Following the Council meeting of February 2, staff and Redistricting Partners have collaborated to present two public outreach sessions via Zoom, one at 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday February 22, and another on Saturday February 26. Residents were notified of these meetings via e-mail, social media, and utility bill inserts (to the cycles that were due during the period preceding the meetings – about 8,000 residents). Additionally, staff e-mailed flyers to local churches, requested the assistance of the Bryte/Broderick Community Action Network (BBCAN) to post flyers, placed ads on Russian language social media, ask the Chamber and school district to share on their social media channels, used the Public Works roadside message signs to advertise the meetings, and asked City staff to spread the word to their community contacts.

Despite these efforts, only eight residents attended the meeting of February 22, while about 12 attended the meeting of February 26. Redistricting Partners notes that low attendance at districting and re-districting outreach meetings is a trend that they're seeing in various jurisdictions around the state. However, staff will continue to promote these meetings through every means available in an effort to increase turnout at future events.

At the meetings of February 22 and February 26, Redistricting Partners staff explained the districting process to attendees, showed meeting participants how to submit their ideas about communities of interest and district boundaries using the online the Districtr software, and solicited feedback on communities of interest. The primary comment from both groups was that there is a significant Hispanic population in Bryte and Broderick that should be considered during the process. Additionally, a resident noted that "the middle" of West Sacramento should not be forgotten as the Council considers the needs of Bryte, Broderick and Southport.

## **ANALYSIS**

Redistricting Partners has used the input provided at public outreach meetings to create draft maps that are configured differently, but comply with state and federal law. There are three draft maps, Maps A, B, and C, which are included (along with demographic information for each), as Attachments 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

These maps reflect the input provided by the community to date, adjusted as necessary by the demographers at Redistricting Partners to comply with the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA), as well as the FAIR MAPS Act and federal voting rights laws. Since there are unavoidable tradeoffs between a district's size, compactness, and adherence to communities of interest and existing geographical boundaries, the process of refining districts is part art, and part science. The feedback that the Council and community provides this evening, and in the upcoming meetings, will help Redistricting Partners to articulate the Council's and community's vision for the districts, and continue moving the process towards adoption of a final map no later than May 18, 2022.

## **Sequencing of District Elections**

To maintain staggered terms, Council members from two of the newly formed districts will need to be elected in 2022, and the others in 2024. Elections Code Section 10010(a)(2) requires the City to propose a potential sequencing of elections and conduct at least two public hearings to facilitate discussion of that sequencing. In order to comply with this provision, staff's initial proposition is that the district elections be conducted in the same order as their alphabetical name during this process, i.e. A = first, B = second, C = third, and D = fourth. Under this approach, districts A and B would run in 2022, and districts C and D would run in 2024. This proposed order is not a staff recommendation, and is provided only to comply with the Election Code. The Council retains its full prerogative to set the order of elections as it sees fit, subject only to the requirement that the Council "give special consideration to the purposes of the [CVRA]" and to the preferences expressed by members of the districts. The final sequence will be selected concurrent with the City's selection of a final district map, no later than May 18, 2022.

## **Next Steps**

The initial draft maps are intended as a starting point for an energetic community discussion, not as a fait accompli. Now that the draft maps have been shared with the Council, staff and Redistricting Partners will conduct extensive community outreach in order to solicit community input on the initial draft maps, including multiple community meetings, and intensive marketing to advise residents of the various available ways to provide their input. While every step of this outreach process will provide valuable information for the Council's consideration, the final map that the Council approves need not be related in any way to the drafts that Redistricting Partners presents on March 16. It is possible that the map the Council ultimately adopts will be a refined version of one of the initial drafts. It is also possible that the final map will be an entirely new creation from a community member, if that submittal meets all of the applicable legal requirements and the Council's expectations.

The period between March 16 and the end of April is the most critical phase of this project from the standpoint of soliciting public involvement. During this time, staff will employ every tool at its disposal in order to assure that every resident of West Sacramento has an opportunity to hear about this process, and participate either electronically, and/or in person. The community will be notified through at least the following means:

- City web site
- Newspaper ads
- Direct mail
- Social media
- Word of mouth
- Roadside sign
- E-mail blast
- Utility bill inserts
- Posted flyers

Staff recognizes that some residents' ability to participate in this process is complicated by language barriers, time constraints, and/or an inability to utilize online tools. To facilitate the inclusion of these residents, staff has created a fully paper-based modality to allow residents who don't have access to a computer, or who can't attend Council or community outreach meetings, to participate by visiting the Arthur F. Turner Library. To help assure that residents are aware of this opportunity, staff has telephone numbers with recorded messages in Spanish and Russian, which direct callers to the Library. The phone numbers will be advertised along with the City's districting web site in Spanish and Russian on City utility bill inserts and other printed material. This approach will provide a full pathway from awareness to participation for residents who don't have access to social media or e-mail, and will provide a redundant invitation to participate for those who do.

The next public outreach meetings are scheduled for Saturday March 26 at 10:00 a.m. at the Community Center, and Tuesday March 29 at 6:00 p.m., also at the Community Center. Spanish and Russian-speaking staff will be on hand at both meetings to assist participants, who will have the opportunity to create and submit their own

maps. At the Council meeting of April 6, staff will present another public hearing on this process, and will provide the Council with an update on the most recent outreach efforts.

Environmental Considerations

This action is not a project that is subject to CEQA because it is not an activity that may cause either a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21065; CEQA Guidelines §§ 15060(c), 15378(a).)

Commission Recommendation

N/A

Strategic Plan Integration

N/A

Alternatives

As an alternative to staff's recommended action, the Council could decline to hear the presentation and/or provide feedback. Staff does not recommend this approach because it would delay the City's progress towards districted elections, which is on a tight schedule in order to be complete in time for the November, 2022 election.

Coordination and Review


This report was prepared by the City Manager's Office, in collaboration with the City Attorney.


Budget/Cost Impact

N/A

**ATTACHMENT(S)**

1. Draft Map A
2. Draft Map B
3. Draft Map C






## 2020 Census

	A	B	C	D
Population	13,408	13,279	13,512	13,878
Deviation	-111	-240	-7	359
Deviation %	-0.8%	-1.8%	-0.1%	2.7%
Other	5,837	6,693	7,226	7,330
Other %	43.5%	50.4%	53.5%	52.8%
Latino	6,078	4,769	2,845	3,632
Latino %	45.3%	35.9%	21.1%	26.2%
Asian	1,036	1,167	2,824	2,117
Asian %	7.7%	8.8%	20.9%	15.3%
Black	457	650	617	799
Black %	3.4%	4.9%	4.6%	5.8%


## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	A	B	C	D
Total CVAP	7,928	8,343	8,742	8,531
Other CVAP	4,208	5,287	5,387	5,767
Other CVAP %	53.1%	63.4%	61.6%	67.6%
Latino CVAP	2,361	2,222	1,051	1,511
Latino CVAP %	29.8%	26.6%	12.0%	17.7%
Asian CVAP	689	461	1,834	696
Asian CVAP %	8.7%	5.5%	21.0%	8.2%
Black CVAP	670	373	470	557
Black CVAP %	8.5%	4.5%	5.4%	6.5%



**District A**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,408	-111	-0.8%	5,837	43.5%	6,078	45.3%	1,036	7.7%	457	3.4%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
7,928	4,208	53.1%	2,361	29.8%	689	8.7%	670	8.5%		



**District A**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,408	-111	-0.8%	5,837	43.5%	6,078	45.3%	1,036	7.7%	457	3.4%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
7,928	4,208	53.1%	2,361	29.8%	689	8.7%	670	8.5%		





**District B**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian	Asian %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black	Black %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
13,279	-240	-1.8%	6,693	50.4%	4,769	35.9%	1,167	8.8%	650	4.9%						
<b>Total CVAP</b>			<b>5,287</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>2,222</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>4.5%</b>						



**District B**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,279	-240	-1.8%	6,693	50.4%	4,769	35.9%	1,167	8.8%	650	4.9%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>5,287</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>2,222</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>4.5%</b>		



**District C**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,512	-7	-0.1%	7,226	53.5%	2,845	21.1%	2,824	20.9%	617	4.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,742	5,387	61.6%	1,051	12.0%	1,834	21.0%	470	5.4%		



**District C**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,512	-7	-0.1%	7,226	53.5%	2,845	21.1%	2,824	20.9%	617	4.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,742	5,387	61.6%	1,051	12.0%	1,834	21.0%	470	5.4%		



**District D**



**2020 Census**





**Citizen Voting Age Population**



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,878	359	2.7%	7,330	52.8%	3,632	26.2%	2,117	15.3%	799	5.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,531	5,767	67.6%	1,511	17.7%	696	8.2%	557	6.5%		










## 2020 Census

	A	B	C	D
Population	13,393	13,672	13,967	13,045
Deviation	-126	153	448	-474
Deviation %	-0.9%	1.1%	3.3%	-3.5%
Other	6,047	6,051	7,648	7,340
Other %	45.2%	44.3%	54.8%	56.3%
Latino	5,702	5,513	3,008	3,101
Latino %	42.6%	40.3%	21.5%	23.8%
Asian	1,102	1,347	2,714	1,981
Asian %	8.2%	9.9%	19.4%	15.2%
Black	542	761	597	623
Black %	4.0%	5.6%	4.3%	4.8%


## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	A	B	C	D
Total CVAP	8,072	7,463	9,341	8,668
Other CVAP	4,455	4,362	5,777	6,055
Other CVAP %	55.2%	58.4%	61.8%	69.9%
Latino CVAP	2,354	1,969	1,347	1,475
Latino CVAP %	29.2%	26.4%	14.4%	17.0%
Asian CVAP	590	582	1,781	727
Asian CVAP %	7.3%	7.8%	19.1%	8.4%
Black CVAP	673	550	436	411
Black CVAP %	8.3%	7.4%	4.7%	4.7%



**District A**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,393	-126	-0.9%	6,047	45.2%	5,702	42.6%	1,102	8.2%	542	4.0%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,072	4,455	55.2%	2,354	29.2%	590	7.3%	673	8.3%		

**District A**




**2020 Census**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian	Asian %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black	Black %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
13,393	-126	-0.9%	6,047	45.2%	5,702	42.6%	1,102	8.2%	542	4.0%	673	8.3%	542	4.0%	673	8.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>8,072</b>		<b>4,455</b>	<b>55.2%</b>	<b>2,354</b>	<b>29.2%</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>8.3%</b>



**District B**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,672	153	1.1%	6,051	44.3%	5,513	40.3%	1,347	9.9%	761	5.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
7,463	4,362	58.4%	1,969	26.4%	582	7.8%	550	7.4%		





**District C**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,967	448	3.3%	7,648	54.8%	3,008	21.5%	2,714	19.4%	597	4.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
9,341	5,777	61.8%	1,347	14.4%	1,781	19.1%	436	4.7%		



**District C**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,967	448	3.3%	7,648	54.8%	3,008	21.5%	2,714	19.4%	597	4.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
9,341	5,777	61.8%	1,347	14.4%	1,781	19.1%	436	4.7%		



**District D**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,045	-474	-3.5%	7,340	56.3%	3,101	23.8%	1,981	15.2%	623	4.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,668	6,055	69.9%	1,475	17.0%	727	8.4%	411	4.7%		





**District D**




**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,045	-474	-3.5%	7,340	56.3%	3,101	23.8%	1,981	15.2%	623	4.8%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,668	6,055	69.9%	1,475	17.0%	727	8.4%	411	4.7%		






## 2020 Census

	A	B	C	D
Population	13,535	14,181	13,204	13,157
Deviation	16	662	-315	-362
Deviation %	0.1%	4.9%	-2.3%	-2.7%
Other	5,706	7,101	7,427	6,852
Other %	42.2%	50.1%	56.2%	52.1%
Latino	6,392	4,840	3,137	2,955
Latino %	47.2%	34.1%	23.8%	22.5%
Asian	961	1,485	2,089	2,609
Asian %	7.1%	10.5%	15.8%	19.8%
Black	476	755	551	741
Black %	3.5%	5.3%	4.2%	5.6%


## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	A	B	C	D
Total CVAP	7,819	8,725	8,908	8,092
Other CVAP	4,117	5,400	5,957	5,175
Other CVAP %	52.7%	61.9%	66.9%	64.0%
Latino CVAP	2,461	2,187	1,271	1,226
Latino CVAP %	31.5%	25.1%	14.3%	15.2%
Asian CVAP	663	502	1,440	1,075
Asian CVAP %	8.5%	5.8%	16.2%	13.3%
Black CVAP	578	636	240	616
Black CVAP %	7.4%	7.3%	2.7%	7.6%



**District A**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,535	16	0.1%	5,706	42.2%	6,392	47.2%	961	7.1%	476	3.5%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
7,819	4,117	52.7%	2,461	31.5%	663	8.5%	578	7.4%		



**District A**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,535	16	0.1%	5,706	42.2%	6,392	47.2%	961	7.1%	476	3.5%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
7,819	4,117	52.7%	2,461	31.5%	663	8.5%	578	7.4%		



**District B**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
14,181	662	4.9%	7,101	50.1%	4,840	34.1%	1,485	10.5%	755	5.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,725	5,400	61.9%	2,187	25.1%	502	5.8%	636	7.3%		



**District B**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
14,181	662	4.9%	7,101	50.1%	4,840	34.1%	1,485	10.5%	755	5.3%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,725	5,400	61.9%	2,187	25.1%	502	5.8%	636	7.3%		





**District C**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,204	-315	-2.3%	7,427	56.2%	3,137	23.8%	2,089	15.8%	551	4.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,908	5,957	66.9%	1,271	14.3%	1,440	16.2%	240	2.7%		



**District C**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,204	-315	-2.3%	7,427	56.2%	3,137	23.8%	2,089	15.8%	551	4.2%
<b>Total CVAP</b>										
8,908	5,957	66.9%	1,271	14.3%	1,440	16.2%	240	2.7%		



**District D**



**2020 Census**




**Citizen Voting Age Population**




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
13,157	-362	-2.7%	6,852	52.1%	2,955	22.5%	2,609	19.8%	741	5.6%
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>		
8,092	5,175	64.0%	1,226	15.2%	1,075	13.3%	616	7.6%		



**District D**



**2020 Census**



**Citizen Voting Age Population**



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian	Asian %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black	Black %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
13,157	-362	-2.7%	6,852	52.1%	2,955	22.5%	2,609	19.8%	741	5.6%						
<b>Total CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP</b>	<b>Other CVAP %</b>	<b>Latino CVAP</b>	<b>Latino CVAP %</b>	<b>Asian CVAP</b>	<b>Asian CVAP %</b>	<b>Black CVAP</b>	<b>Black CVAP %</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>7.6%</b>						
8,092	5,175	64.0%	1,226	15.2%	1,075	13.3%	616	7.6%								